ACTIVITY: Infiltra	ation / Percolati	on Trench	I – 01 ⁹⁷
	ب	Runoff	AGRICULTURE TTHE STORE
		Fargeted Constituents	
 Significant B 	Benefit	Partial Benefit	 Low or Unknown Benefit
• Sediment •	Heavy Metals	Floatable Materials	 Oxygen Demanding Substances
▶ Nutrients ▶ Tox	cic Materials	Oil & Grease O Bacteria	& Viruses O Construction Wastes
	Impl	ementation Requiremen	ts
High Carrital Casta	O & M Casta	Medium	
	0 & M Costs		O Hanning
	infiltrated into a sidescharged to a sidesigned to accept eventually allow Infiltration rates in bedrock. Such lossystems work best topography and side considered as	shallow, excavated trench back urface channel. It is located be of the first flush of stormwater it to infiltrate into the subsoil t in many areas of the state are ty ocations may not be suitable of st at sites having sandy loam ty inkholes may initially appear t unreliable and will require very	filled with stone aggregate rather than low ground or at-grade and is usually runoff, temporarily store it, and hrough its sides and bottom. pically poor due to clay soils and infiltration trench BMPs. Infiltration pes of soils. Areas containing karst o have excellent infiltration, but should v careful investigation and analysis.
Selection Criteria	 Following are son Infiltration traat small projection Soils must had unfavorable ge underground Infiltration traceommercial assmall drainage and a water traceontaminatio According to runoff from r Infiltration trass a filter strigrease, and o Natural sinkly considered to stormwater q 	me criteria for placement of inf enches may be used for stormy ect sites only if soil, geologic a we adequate infiltration rates a geologic conditions shall be pre passageways. enches are often used in low to treas with limited and costly la ge areas of less then five acres. able depth much lower than the n of the groundwater. the Florida Development Man oof drains, parking lots, tennis enches should always be desig p or grass swale, to aid in the r ther particulate pollutants. toles (or other evidences of kar o be suitable locations for infilt uality or in providing stormwa	iltration trenches: vater quality and stormwater detention and groundwater conditions are suitable. Is measured or tested in the field. No esent that would indicate sinkholes or medium density, residential and and space. They are usually used for They require highly permeable soils bottom of the trench to prevent ual (1988), they are used to receive courts, and roadways. ned to have pretreatment facilities, such emoval of suspended materials, oil, st topography and drainage) are not ration systems for use in treating ter detention. In general, stormwater
Tennessee BMP Manual Stormwater Treatment		I-01-97	July 2002

98

	drainage may continue to flow to a natural sinkhole at a rate that is representative of natural undeveloped conditions. No unusual or unfavorable geologic conditions shall be present near the sinkhole that indicates subsidence, piping, increased limestone dissolution, potential collapse or other safety concerns.
Design and Sizing Considerations	Infiltration can be a very desirable method of stormwater treatment for land uses which do not heavily pollute stormwater runoff. For instance, established residential areas typically have less pollution than industrial and commercial areas. The primary physical conditions necessary for infiltration are: 1) permeable soils which have not been compacted or graded and 2) low and non-interfering groundwater tables.
	Stormwater runoff from parking lots or buildings should be pretreated with a water quality enhancing inlet, oil/water separator, grass swale or other type of stormwater treatment BMPs to remove suspended materials. In addition, they should be designed to completely drain in two days or less.
	Infiltration trenches are used mainly for water quality improvements and are not recommended for water quantity control because they do not reduce peak flows and runoff volumes very well. However, they can be used in conjunction with other BMPs to accomplish this task. They can also provide groundwater recharge, help maintain baseflow in nearby streams, and control localized streambank erosion. There are several methods and designs for infiltration trenches that can be found in various design manuals.
	Following are some factors to consider in design:
	Infiltration trenches are not recommended for contributing watershed areas greater than five acres.
	The recommended minimum infiltration rate is at least 0.5 inches per hour, but may depend on type of infiltration system and the desired water quality treatment involved.
	Coarse soils are not as effective in filtering groundwater; therefore provide at least 6 to 8 feet separation from seasonal high groundwater for sand and gravel soils.
	The slope of the drainage area to an infiltration trench should not exceed five percent. This helps to keep runoff velocities low.
	The water table should be at least three feet below the bottom of the trench. This can be determined with soil borings taken at the site.
	The bottom of the infiltration trench should be at least four feet above the bedrock.
	Trenches can be 3 to 12 feet deep. The depth can be calculated using the infiltration rate, aggregate void space, and trench storage time. As a general rule, the side area to bottom area ratio should not exceed 4 to 1.
	The minimum trench width should be 2 feet.
	According to the Virginia Stormwater Handbook, infiltration trenches should be located 20 feet down-slope and 100 feet up-slope from building foundations.
	To help prevent premature clogging of the infiltration facility, a pretreatment facility such as a filter strip or grass swale should be installed to remove suspended

materials, oil, grease, etc. before it enters the trench. If an area is expected to have high levels of sediment input, an infiltration trench may not be recommended at all.

- The sides, top, and bottom of the infiltration trench should be lined with permeable filter fabric to protect the soil from contamination.
- Perforated underdrain pipes are often installed to collect the runoff and divert it to an outflow facility.
- An infiltration trench should be designed to completely drain two days after the design storm event. This allows the underlying soil to dry between storm events.
- A factor of safety should be incorporated into the design to ensure that the system still works even when partially clogged.
- It is recommended that an observation well be installed in every infiltration trench. The water levels measured in these wells can be used to monitor clogging potential and de-watering times.
- An infiltration trench can be effective year-round as long as the surface is cleared of snow and ice. If the surface freezes, its infiltration abilities are greatly impaired.
- A clean washed aggregate should be used to backfill an infiltration trench. The diameter of the aggregate should be between 1.5 and 3.5 inches. Void space is assumed to be between 30 and 40 percent.
- Infiltration trenches easily fit into the margins and perimeters of a development site and are often used in areas with little land space available. However, because the soils in developed areas are often unsuitable for infiltration trenches, the proper soil tests must be performed to determine the retrofit capability.

Overview of Infiltration Theory

The overall degree of water quality treatment achieved by infiltration is a function of the amount of stormwater that is captured and infiltrated over time. Minimum infiltration storage is generally required to be the first flush volume.

Typical infiltration rates are shown in Table I-01-1. The USDA soil texture classification is based upon the soils triangle shown in Figure I-01-1, with the following definitions:

	Approximate size	Rough description
Gravel	> 2 mm	> No. 8 sieve or so
Sand	0.05 mm to 2 mm	> No. 200 sieve
Silt	0.002 mm to 0.05 mm	Little plasticity or cohesion
Clay	< 0.002 mm	Can be rolled and compressed

Another well-known method of categorizing soils and evaluating soil properties is by the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The following soil groups are generally acceptable as good soils for infiltration:

- SW Well-graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines
- SP Poorly graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines

Tennessee BMP Manual	
Stormwater Treatment	

		Table I-01-1	[
	Typical Infilt	ration Rates from	USDA Soil Texture	e
	USDA Soil Texture	Typical Water Capacity	Typical Infiltration Rate	Hydrologic
	OBDA John Texture	(inches per inch of soil)	(inches per hour)	Soil Group
*	Sand	0.35	8.27	А
**	Loamy sand	0.31	2.41	А
**	Sandy loam	0.25	1.02	В
**	Loam	0.19	0.52	В
	Silt loam	0.17	0.27	С
	Sandy clay loam	0.14	0.17	С
	Clay loam	0.14	0.09	D
	Silty clay loam	0.11	0.06	D
	Sandy clay	0.09	0.05	D
	Silty clay	0.09	0.04	D
	Clay	0.08	0.02	D

SMSilty sands, sand-silt mixtures

* - Suitable for infiltration with typical 6' to 8' separation from seasonal high groundwater

** - Suitable for infiltration with at least 3' separation from seasonal high groundwater

I - 01

100



Infiltration trenches are not effective in some parts of Tennessee due to clay soils and shallow bedrock conditions. Avoid steep slopes or other geologic conditions that could potentially be made unstable by infiltrating water into the ground.

Natural Depressions, Sinkholes, and Karst Topography

Much bedrock in Tennessee is composed of fractured limestone formations that are likely to contain unusual strike angles and/or nonconformities. Karst topography is defined as the presence of limestone or other soluble geology that is likely to form caverns, sinkholes, or other dissolved formations. A sinkhole is a surface depression, typically linked to an underground cavern system, which occurs primarily in limestone regions. See Figure I-01-4 for a typical sketch of a sinkhole.

For natural depressions and sinkholes, it is generally required that the post-developed peak flows and total stormwater runoff volume must be limited to the pre-developed values. In addition, it may be required that no structures will be flooded from a 100-year storm assuming plugged conditions (zero outflow). It is greatly desired that runoff should be treated using one or more stormwater treatment BMPs, prior to discharging toward a sinkhole or other natural depression.

Consideration may be given to recommendations that are based upon advanced subsurface testing or visual inspection by experts or professional engineers with demonstrated experience in hydrogeology. Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) requires anyone who performs a dye trace study to obtain a TDEC registration for this activity (see TDEC website). Major sinkholes are considered to be waters of the state; filling or otherwise altering a large sinkhole requires an Aquatic Resources Alteration Permit from TDEC.

Construction/ Inspection Considerations

- It is very important to protect the natural infiltration rate by using light equipment and construction procedures that minimize compaction. Stormwater must be allowed to enter the facility until all construction in the catchment area is completed and the work area is stabilized. If this prohibition is not feasible in particular situations, do not excavate the facility to final grade until after all construction is complete upstream. With trenches, make sure the rock fill does not become dirty while temporarily stored at the site.
- Protect infiltration surface during construction.
- An infiltration trench should have an observation and sampling port, to assist in cleanout and to check water quality and groundwater levels.
- Geotextile fabric should be selected on the basis of durability, with an adequate opening size to resist clogging.
- Use clean washed aggregate (little or no fines).
- If the bottom of the trench has been compacted due to construction vehicles or other means, it should be rototilled to replenish its infiltration capacity.
- Protect the area from heavy equipment and traffic by physical means.

I - 01

	nazaruot
Tennessee BMP Manual Stormwater Treatment	

I-01-103

July	2002
------	------

ACTIVITY: Infil	tration / Percolation Trench	I – 01
	 Improperly functioning infiltration trenches must stormwater treatment BMPs that are capable of pr treatment. 	be replaced by other roviding water quality
Maintenance	Inspect and observe the infiltration system several particularly after heavy rainfall events. Use obser- ports to monitor water levels and drawdown time measurements taken. Perform any maintenance a	l times during the first year, rvation wells and cleanout s. Record all observations and and repairs promptly.
	Inspect the infiltration system annually thereafter events. If stormwater does not infiltrate within 44 generally time to clean, repair or replace the facil sediment at least annually to avoid high concentra infiltration capacity.	, and after extreme rainfall 8 hours after a storm, it is ity. Remove debris and ations of pollutants and loss of
	The primary objective of maintenance and inspec the infiltration facility continues to perform as de can substantially lengthen the required time interview rehabilitations.	tion activities is to ensure that signed. Regular inspection val between major
	Prevent compaction of the infiltration surfaces by gates or fences. Maintain dense grass vegetation rotary tillers on infiltration surfaces when needed and to control weed growth.	physical controls such as for infiltration basins. Use to restore infiltration capacity
	Maintenance considerations should include the poinfiltration trench every 5 years, as the gravel and eventually become clogged and cease to function the bottom of the trench, along the gravel / soil in even faster if there are fine silts, oil and grease, fe present in stormwater runoff. Do not allow trees become rooted along an infiltration trench. Inspecinfiltration trench at least a few times a year.	ossibility of replacing an l geotextile fabric will . Clogging may also occur at tterface. Clogging will occur ertilizers and other materials or other woody vegetation to ect operation and recovery of
	Pretreatment of stormwater runoff may reduce ma coarse sediments and floatable materials in a sma easily cleaned. All infiltration trenches should be first year and at least twice a year thereafter.	aintenance costs by capturing ller structure that can be more inspected several times the
	Maintain records of inspections and maintenance	performed.
	Sediment Removal	
	A primary function of stormwater treatment BMPs is sediments. The sediment accumulation rate is depend including watershed size, facility sizing, upstream con commercial activities, etc. Sediments should be ident and disposal is performed. Special attention or sampl sediments accumulated from industrial or manufactur commercial sites, fueling centers or automotive maint or other areas where pollutants are suspected. Treat s hazardous soil until proven otherwise.	to collect and remove dent on a number of factors instruction, nearby industrial or tified before sediment removal ling should be given to ring facilities, heavy tenance areas, parking areas, rediment as potentially

Some sediment may contain contaminants for which TDEC requires special disposal procedures. Consult TDEC – Division of Water Pollution Control if there is any uncertainty about what the sediment contains or if it is known to contain contaminants. Clean sediment may be used as fill material, hole filling, or land spreading. It is important that this material not be placed in a way that will promote or allow resuspension in stormwater runoff. Some demolition or sanitary landfill operators will allow the sediment to be disposed at their facility for use as cover. This generally requires that the sediment be tested to ensure that it is innocuous.Cost ConsiderationsInfiltration trenches are often the most cost-effective choice for small areas where pond systems can not be installed. Pretreatment will reduce maintenance costs by capturing gross settleable solids and floatables in a smaller space that can be more easily cleaned.Construction costs include clearing, grading, excavation, placement of the filter fabric, placement of the stone aggregate, installation of the monitoring well, and establishment of a vegetated buffer strip. Infiltration trench construction costs will vary around \$7,000 - \$8,000 depending on the site constraints (Schueler, 1987).LimitationsMaintenance activities include inspection, maintaining the pretreatment facility, mowing, buffer maintenance, tree pruning or removal, sediment removal, and eventual rehabilitation. The costs of these activities vary from place to place.	
Cost ConsiderationsInfiltration trenches are often the most cost-effective choice for small areas where pond systems can not be installed. Pretreatment will reduce maintenance costs by capturing gross settleable solids and floatables in a smaller space that can be more easily cleaned.Construction costs include clearing, grading, excavation, placement of the filter fabric, placement of the stone aggregate, installation of the monitoring well, and establishment of a vegetated buffer strip. Infiltration trench construction costs will vary around \$7,000 - \$8,000 depending on the site constraints (Schueler, 1987).LimitationsMaintenance activities include inspection, maintaining the pretreatment facility, mowing, buffer maintenance, tree pruning or removal, sediment removal, and eventual rehabilitation. The costs of these activities vary from place to place.	
 Construction costs include clearing, grading, excavation, placement of the filter fabric, placement of the stone aggregate, installation of the monitoring well, and establishment of a vegetated buffer strip. Infiltration trench construction costs will vary around \$7,000 - \$8,000 depending on the site constraints (Schueler, 1987). Limitations Maintenance activities include inspection, maintaining the pretreatment facility, mowing, buffer maintenance, tree pruning or removal, sediment removal, and eventual rehabilitation. The costs of these activities vary from place to place. 	
Limitations Maintenance activities include inspection, maintaining the pretreatment facility, mowing, buffer maintenance, tree pruning or removal, sediment removal, and eventual rehabilitation. The costs of these activities vary from place to place.	
The four major concerns with infiltration trenches are clogging, potential immediate on other structures and monomial communication of because metals, and	
 Clogging and high maintenance costs are very likely to occur in fine soils that are marginally allowable for infiltration rates. Erosion control is extremely important to prevent clogging; infiltration trenches fail if they receive high sediment loads. Perform regular maintenance and inspections to minimize the potential for clogging and loss of infiltration capacity. Pretreatment is highly recommended for stormwater runoff from many land uses, prior to discharging to an infiltration trench. 	
 Infiltration trenches are not appropriate for areas with high groundwater tables, steep slopes, lots of underground infrastructure, and nearby buildings. Infiltration trenches tend to fail very easily and have short life spans. This is 	
 due to premature clogging of the facility, low permeable soils, and high water table. Approximately fifty percent of infiltration trenches have partially or completely failed within five years (Schueler et al, 1992). There are mean negativities on the use of infiltration trenches, including soil. 	
There are many restrictions on the use of infiltration trenches, including solicype, depth to water table and bedrock, slopes, and contributing watershed area. Careful investigations of these conditions must be performed to determine if an infiltration trench is best suited to the location over another BMP.	
 Heavy metals are likely to settle in any of the stormwater treatment BMPs, but particularly for infiltration trenches (which have the lowest velocity). High 	

levels of heavy metals have been observed in other states where adequate maintenance was not performed. Toxic levels are not likely to be exceeded, but the sediments will need to be handled as hazardous waste after a few years of neglect.

- There is a higher risk of groundwater contamination in very coarse soils. It is highly recommended that a monitoring and inspection program should be used to verify that no contamination occurs. Infiltration trenches may not be appropriate where there is significant potential for hazardous chemical spills, or near drinking water wells.
- The use of infiltration trenches is very limited in ultra-urban areas because of unsuitable soils.

Additional Information

- Infiltration trenches are generally suitable only for small sites of a few acres.
- Infiltration trenches or wet detention should be considered where dissolved pollutants discharging to surface waters are of concern. However, satisfactory removal efficiencies require soils that contain loam. Coarse soils are not effective at removing dissolved pollutants and fine particulates before the stormwater reaches the ground water aquifer.
- Problems can be expected with infiltration trenches placed in finer soils. The State of Maryland has emphasized these systems for about 10 years where they have been installed in soils with infiltration rates as low as 0.27 inches (0.69 cm) per hour. A recent survey (Lindsey, et al., 1991) found that a third of the facilities examined (177) were clogged and another 18% were experiencing slow infiltration.
- Based on a review of several studies of infiltration facilities in sandy and loamy soils, it has been concluded that "monitoring . . . has not demonstrated significant contamination . . . although highly soluble pollutants such as nitrate and chloride have been shown to migrate to ground water" (USEPA, 1991). However, pollution has been found in ground water where infiltration devices are in coarse gravels (Adophson, 1989; Miller, 1987).
- Clogging has not been a problem with well maintained systems discharging to sands and coarser soils, suggesting that pretreatment for these infiltration devices in the aforementioned soil conditions is not necessary. Pretreatment when infiltrating to finer soils is suggested. An infiltration facility sized only for treatment is much smaller than one sized for flood control and therefore may be more susceptible to clogging.
- For small systems treating less than a few acres of pavement, pretreatment can be accomplished with a stormwater quality inlet, catch basin and a submerged outlet. The diameter and depth of the sump should be at least four times the diameter of the outlet pipe to the infiltration system (Lager, et al., 1977). Swales can also be used although they will not likely be feasible in industrial sites that tend to be fully utilized.

105

I - 01





I – 01

108

Camp Dresser & McKee, Woodward-Clyde, Aguilar Engineering, Psomas & Associates, MK Centennial, *Construction Contractors Guide and Specifications, Caltrans Storm Water Quality Handbooks*, prepared for the California Department of Transportation, 1997.

Debo, Thomas N. and Andrew J. Reese. *Municipal Storm Water Management*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, 1995.

Dewberry, Sidney O. and John S. Matusik. *Land Development Handbook: Planning, Engineering, and Surveying*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996.

Duchene, Michael and Edward A. McBean. "Discharge Characteristics of Perforated Pipe For Use in Infiltration Trenches." *Water Resources Bulletin*. Volume 28. No. 3. American Water Resources Association. June, 1992.

Ferguson, Bruce K. <u>Stormwater Infiltration</u>. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida, 1994.

Field, R., H. Masters and M. Singer, *Status of Porous Pavement Research*, Water Resources Research, Volume 16, Number 6, pages 849-858, June 1982.

Florida Concrete and Products Association, Inc., *Portland Cement Pervious Pavement Manual*, Publication 605, Orlando FL.

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (FDER). *The Florida Development Manual: A Guide to Sound Land and Water Management. Department of Environmental Regulation.* Stormwater Management Practices, FL, 1988.

Galli, F. J. *Prince George's County Anacostia Watershed Restoration Inventory*. Anacostia Restoration Team. 1989.

Galli, F. J. *Preliminary Analysis of the Performance and Longevity of Urban BMPs Installed in Prince George County, Maryland.* Prepared for the Department of Environmental Resources, Prince George's County, Maryland, 1992.

Gburek, W. J., and J.B. Urban, *Storm Water Detention and Ground Water Recharge Using Porous Asphalt – Initial Results, Proceedings of International Symposium on Urban Storm Water Runoff*, Lexington KY, 1980.

Goforth, G.F., J.P. Heaney, and W.C. Huber, *Comparison of Basin Performance Modeling Techniques, Journal of Environmental Engineering*, ASCE Volume 109 (5), page 1082, 1983.

Guo, J., and B. Urbonas, *Special Report to the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District on Stormwater BMP Capture Volume Probabilities in United States*, Denver CO, 1995.

Hayden, Kelie A., *Selection and Design Criteria for Structural Stormwater Best Management Practices*, M. S. Thesis, University of Tennessee, Civil and Environmental Engineering Department, Knoxville, May 2000, 179 pp.

I - 01

109

Kamber Engineering. "Draft, Construction Site Stormwater Discharge Control: An Inventory of Current Practices". Prepared for US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. EPA Contract No. 68-C8-0052. 1991.
Kuo, C. Y., G. D. Boardman, and K. T. Laptos. *Phosphorus and Nitrogen Removal Efficiencies of Trenches*. Virginia Polytechnic and State University. Prepared for the Northern Virginia Planning District Commission. 1990.
Kentucky Division of Conservation, *Best Management Practices for Construction Activities*, August 1994.
King County (Washington State), *Surface Water Design Manual*, 1990.
Lager, J.A., W.G. Smith, and G. Tchobanoglous, *Catchbasin Technology Overview and Assessment*, USEPA 600/2-77-051, May 1977.

Lindsey, G., L. Roberts, and W. Page, *Stormwater Management Infiltration Practices in Maryland: A Second Survey*, Maryland Department of the Environment, June 1991.

Maine Department of Environmental Protection, *Stormwater Management for Maine: Best Management Practices*, November 1995.

Maryland Department of the Environment. *Maryland Stormwater Design Manual Volume I*. Water Management Administration, Baltimore, Maryland,1999 Maryland Department of Natural Resources, *Standards and Specifications for Infiltration Practices*, 1984.

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOG), A Current Assessment of Urban Best Management Practices: Techniques for Reducing Nonpoint Source Pollution in the Coastal Zone, Publication #92705, March 1992.

Miller, S., *Urban Runoff Quality and Management in Spokane*, Proceedings of the Northwest Nonpoint Source Pollution Conference, March 24-25, Seattle WA, 1987.

Phillips, N. *Decisionmaker's Stormwater Handbook*. Terrene Institute, Washington, D.C., 1992.

Roesner, L.A., J. Aldrich, J. Hartigan, et.al., *Urban Runoff Quality Management – WEF Manual of Practice No. 23 /* ASCE Manual and Report on Engineering Practice No. 87, 1998.

Schueler, Thomas R. et al.. *Controlling Urban Runoff: A Practical Manual for Planning and Designing Urban BMPs*. Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments. 1987.

Schueler, Thomas R. et al. A Current Assessment of Urban Best Management Practices Techniques for Reducing Non-Point Source Pollution in the Coastal Zone. Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, Washington, D.C., 1992.

Schueler, T. R. et al. "Developing Effective BMP Systems for Urban Watersheds". Urban Nonpoint Workshops. New Orleans, Louisiana. January 27-29, 1991.

Shaver, Earl, personal communication to Camp Dresser & McKee, Delaware Department of Natural Resources.

Stahre, P., and B. Urbonas, Swedish Approach to Infiltration and Percolation Design, Design of Urban Runoff Quality Control, American Society of Civil Engineers, 1989.

Tulloch, Alice, personal communication to Camp Dresser & McKee, City of Modesto Public Works (California).

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Champaign, IL,. Illinois Urban Manual: A Technical Manual Designed for Urban Ecosystem Protection and Enhancement. 1995.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Detention and Retention Effects on Groundwater, Region V, 1991.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Municipal Wastewater Management Fact Sheets: Storm Water Best Management Practices. EPA 832-F-96-001. 1996.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). "Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet: Infiltration Trench". US Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Water, Washington, D.C., 1999.

Urbonas, Ben and Peter Stahre. Storm Water Best Management Practices and Detention for Water Quality, Drainage, and CSO Management. PTR Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1993.

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (VDCR). Virginia Stormwater Handbook. First Edition, Volume 1. Division of Soil and Water Conservation, Richmond, VA, 1999.

Wiegand, C. W., W. C. Chittenden, and T. R. Schueler. "Cost of Urban Runoff Controls." (in): Urban Runoff Quality: Impact and Quality Enhancement Technology.B. Urbonas and L. Roesner, eds. American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, 1986.

Woodward-Clyde Federal Services. Draft Summary of Urban BMP Cost and Effectiveness Data for 6217(g) Guidance. Post Construction Stormwater Runoff Treatment. Prepared for the US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. 1991.